

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,677		06/27/2001	Kent D. Cedola	50037.13US01	3958
27488	759	0 12/08/2004		EXAM	INER
		CORPORATION IT & GOULD, L.L.C.	AL HASHEN	AL HASHEMI, SANA A	
P.O. BOX		(1 & GOOLD, L.L.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEA	POLIS	, MN 55402-0903	2161		
				DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/892,677	CEDOLA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sana Al-Hashemi	2161					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re . I reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 August 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 2	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 20</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-18 and 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-18 and 20 is/are rejected. 						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	* *						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the pri	nents have been received. The sents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/1/04. 	Paper No(s))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/892,677

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claim Status: 1-18, and 20 are rejected, claim 19, is canceled.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 8/17/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-5, and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wu (US Patent No. 6,463,427).

Regarding Claims 1, 11, and 18, Wu discloses a method for replicating information (see Fig. 1, 3, and 4, Wu), comprising:

receiving a first manifest of modification to a data store (see column 6, lines 33-36, Wu), wherein the first manifest is compatible with a synchronization protocol that does not support add event (see column 6, lines 32-40, Wu¹);

¹ Since the Wu system allow the creation of databases for different types of object corresponds to step of compatible with a synchronization protocol that does not support add event, because if the Wu system do support the synchronization would not allow the creation step.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,677

Art Unit: 2161

comparing the first manifest of modification to a list of objects within a mobile data store (see column 6, lines 16-22, Wu); and

creating a second manifest of modification by altering the first manifest wherein the second manifest is compatible with a synchronization protocol that supports add events, and wherein the first manifest is altered to reflect that a particular modification is associated with an add event for an object not on the list of objects within the mobile data store when the particular modification identified in the first manifest is interpreted as being associated with a change event for the object not on the list of objects (see column 6, lines 52-65. Wu).

Regarding Claim 2, Wu discloses a method further comprising passing the second manifest to a mobile device on which resides the mobile data store (see column 7, lines 53-64, Wu).

Regarding Claim 3, Wu discloses a method wherein the second manifest includes modifications that describe add events, change events, and delete events (see summary of the invention, column 2, lines 21-23, Wu).

Regarding Claims 4, and 8, Wu discloses a method wherein the add events, change events, and delete events describe actions to be performed on objects that reside in the mobile data store (see Fig. 5, 6, Wu).

Regarding Claim 5, Wu discloses a method wherein the first manifest, does not contain a modification that describes an add event, and wherein the second manifest contains at least one modification that describes an add event (see column 8, lines 21-26, Wu).

Regarding Claim 9, Wu discloses a method wherein the request to synchronize comprising a search request for objects on the data store that have changed since a prior

Application/Control Number: 09/892,677

Art Unit: 2161

synchronization transaction between the data store and the mobile data store (see column 7, lines 27-36, Wu).

Regarding Claim 10, Wu discloses a method wherein the request to synchronize comprises a search request for objects on the data store that have been deleted since a prior synchronization transaction between the data store and the mobile data store (see column 10, lines 4-7, Wu).

Regarding Claim 12, Wu discloses a computer-readable medium further comprising including in the sync state table the object that was not in the sync state table (see column 8, lines 58-63, Wu).

Regarding Claim 13, Wu discloses a computer-readable medium further comprising passing the second manifest to the second data store (see column 7, lines 21-26, Wu).

Regarding Claim 14, Wu discloses a computer-readable medium further comprising passing the object that was not in the sync state table to the second data store (see column 8, lines 63-67, Wu).

Regarding Claim 15, Wu discloses a computer-readable medium wherein the first data store and the second data store each contain copies of the collection of data objects (see column 3, lines 8-15, Wu).

Regarding Claim 16, Wu discloses a computer-readable medium wherein the data objects comprise e-mail messages (see column 6, lines 45-48, Wu).

Regarding Claim 17, Wu discloses a computer-readable medium wherein the data objects comprise contact information objects (see column 6, lines 48-51, Wu).

Application/Control Number: 09/892,677 Page 5

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding Claim 20, Wu discloses a computer-readable medium further comprising passing the manifest including the associated add events to the second data store (see column 7, lines 27-32, Wu).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6, and 7, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu in view of the Peiya liu

Regarding Claims 6, and 7 Wu does not disclose the method of the first manifest is created in accordance with a Document Authoring and Versioning (DAV) protocol. However, the Siemens Corporate research teaches the method of the DAV protocol used in manifest creation (see page 76-79, Peiya Liu), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the DAV protocol to create and modify the document with the motivation of keeping all the synchronized data updated and categorized properly by creating new add events if needed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues the Wu reference fails to disclose the amended limitations.

Examiner disagrees. Refereeing to the action above all the newly amended limitations have been addressed, please see the action above.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control 1

Art Unit: 2161

Points of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to: Sana Al-Hashemi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4013. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (571) 272-4023. Any response to this office action should be mailed to: The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. Or telefax at phone number (703) 872-9306. For formal or draft communications, please label "PROSPOSED" or "DRAFT". Hand-delivered response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, 6th Floor Receptionist, Arlington, Virginia. 22202.

Sana Al-Hashemi Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100 December 6, 2004

ALFORD KINDRED PRIMARY EXAMINER